



Climate Genocide Act Now
Address removed for website

Email address removed for website

<https://www.climatecriminals.uk/>

10 August 2023

District Judge xxx

XXXX

XXXXXX

XXX,

XXXX

Your honour Judge XXXXXX

Proof of the allegation we have a corrupt criminal justice system that protects those who kill and prosecutes those trying to stop the killers.

For around a year this group has been writing to judges to inform them of a catastrophic failure of the criminal justice system. Where we heard the name of a judge and court where a climate protest case had been heard, we wrote to explain that climate protest actions were only taking place because the Metropolitan Police (The Met) had perverted the course of justice. We also explained that The Met was undermining everything judges said in court to defendants around the rule of law.

We explained that in 2019 this group had attempted to get the police to use ICCA 2001 to stop the politicians who contribute to mass death and suffering by the policies that drive climate breakdown. The policies have begun a process that will soon completely annihilate several low-lying island states and are contributing to mass death and suffering in the most climate vulnerable nations. ICCA 2001 incorporates international criminal law, which makes it clear that attacks upon civilian populations must be prosecuted in cases of 'direct intent', 'oblique intent' and where the accused contributes to the crimes by having 'common purpose' with others.

Since 2019 The Met had always refused to explain its thinking but, after writing to judges, The Met agreed its legal team in the Directorate of Legal Services would respond (The Directorate). I am very grateful to judges for having taken steps to require The Met to explain its thinking to this group.

Letters arrived from The Directorate in March and April 2023 and were answered. We explained where the critical flaws were in The Directorates thinking. In May 2023 The Directorate asked for more time to consider its position, but in July 2023 The Met wrote to say it would not engage in further correspondence.

It was clear that our case was robust and we had proven The Met had no lawful reason for refusing to act upon legislation enacted by Parliament. Sadly, the correspondence revealed evidence that The Directorate was acting in bad faith and we harboured a suspicion the lawyers in the Directorate knew they had run out of road and wanted no further association with the matter.

On 27 July I wrote to The Met and Directorate setting out a summary of the facts. I attach this letter. The Directorate acknowledged this, did not dispute any of the facts but refused any further communication.

I put it to you that The Met knows it has perverted the course of justice by refusing to act upon legislation enacted by Parliament and it is doing so because of a mistaken belief it has a duty to protect a small number of senior politicians.

We are in a situation akin to the crime against humanity of apartheid, where the criminal justice system supported the depravity but, very suddenly, everything changed. In this case, the mass killings and suffering caused by the policies that drive climate breakdown will soon be seen by all for what they are – a crime against humanity. Additionally, the policies that have begun to annihilate several low-lying island states will be seen as an obvious act of genocide.

This group and many others are seeking an orderly way to end the corruption in the criminal justice system and stop the mass killings associated with the policies that cause climate breakdown. You will be aware that some forensic specialists are refusing to collaborate with the prosecution of climate protesters, that the group 'Lawyers Are Responsible' have taken a series of steps to distance professional lawyers from the killings and the group 'Save Our Juries' is demanding that all relevant facts be put to juries in climate protest cases.

This group is doing what it can to present crucial factual information to courts and juries. We are doing that by offering a witness statement to climate protesters.

Clearly protesters cannot receive a fair trial in a case where the protest action was only necessary, and only happened, because the police had perverted the course of justice. Worse, to this day, the criminal justice system remains determined to continue to pervert the course of justice. It is obvious that the Article 6 right to a fair trial has been denied in such circumstances.

One way to bring the killings to an end may be by allowing juries to hear the crucial facts of the matter, thereby acquitting climate protesters and forcing the Met and government ministers into a position where they feel they must stop the killings.

However this situation is unique. Key correspondence has been copied to many influential individuals, including The Lord Chief Justice and his successor. It may be that the judiciary will decide it will not facilitate the killings, by hearing climate protest cases. If so, this will force government ministers to stop participating in the killings.

Whatever you decide, it is clear that international criminal law requires the prosecution of all involved in mass killing and other mass harm. I therefore appeal to you to take an equally unique and dynamic action by making it clear to your profession and the public you will not participate in this crime.

If you require any further information please do not hesitate to ask.

Yours sincerely,

Jon Fuller

Climate Genocide Act Now